

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,346	07/02/2004	Hon-Yuan Lco	12851-US-PA	4345	
31561 JIANO CHYU	31561 7590 12/03/2007 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE		EXAMINER		
7 FLOOR-1, 1	7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			XIAO, KE	
	ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2629		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/03/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

	A contract N					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/710,346	LEO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ke Xiao	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 October 2007</u> .					
· <u>-</u>	·—					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-15 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/710,346 Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tsuzuki (US 5,745,093).

Regarding Claim 1, Tsuzuki teaches a liquid crystal panel (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4) comprising:

a display area having MxN pixels for providing MxN resolution, each of the pixels including K subpixels (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 pixels in delta formation each with RGB subpixels);

a row driver having IxN scan lines coupled to the display area (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 element 3 2xN scan lines); and

a column driver, receiving a pixel data including a subpixel data XT, YT, and ZT at period T, having JxM data lines coupled to the display area for cooperating with the row driver to complete driving M pixels on a same row in the display area after the row driver scans I times, wherein T is an integer (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 RGB signals are steamed to the sample and hold circuits one pixel at a time), IxJ = K, and 1<I, J<K (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, K is 3, I is 2 and J is 1.5), and the column driver includes:

an even column driver receiving a portion of the pixel data for driving an even portion of the JxM data lines in the display area, wherein the even column driver receives the subpixel data XT and ZT when the period T=4s, receives the subpixel data YT and ZT when the period T=4s+1, receives the subpixel data YT when the period T=4s+2, and receives the subpixel data XT when the period T=4s+3, s being an integer (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, T=0 RB, T=1 BG, T=2 G, T=3 R); and

an odd column driver receiving a portion of the pixel data for driving an odd portion of the JxM data lines in the display area, wherein the odd column driver receives the subpixel data YT when the period T=4s, receives the subpixel data XT when the period T=4s+1, receives the subpixel data XT and ZT when the period T=4s+2, and receives the subpixel data YT and ZT when the period T=4s+3 (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, T=0 G, T=1 R, T=2 RB, T=3 GB).

Regarding Claim 7, Tsuzuki teaches a method for driving a liquid crystal panel having a display area having MxN pixels for providing MxN resolution, each of the pixels including K subpixels (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4), the method comprising:

providing a pixel data including subpixel data XT, YT and ZT at period T, there T is an integer (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, RGB):

inputting the subpixels data XT and ZT to an even column driver and providing the subpixel data YT to and odd column driver when the period T=4s, wherein s is an integer (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, T=0 RB even G odd);

inputting the subpixels data YT and ZT to an even column driver and providing the subpixel data XT to and odd column driver when the period T=4s+1 (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, T=1 BG even R odd);

inputting the subpixels data XT and ZT to an even column driver and providing the subpixel data YT to and odd column driver when the period T=4s+2 (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, T=2 G even RB odd);

inputting the subpixels data XT and ZT to an even column driver and providing the subpixel data YT to and odd column driver when the period T=4s+3 (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, T=3 R even BG odd);

scanning IxN scan lines in the display area in sequence (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 scan lines are scanned in a progressive sequence); and

providing JxM subpixel data to JxM data lines in the display area after scanning each of the IxN scan lines to complete driving M pixels on a same row in the display area after scanning the scan lines for I times using the even column driver and the odd column driver (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, two scan lines must be scanned for each pixel row);

where IxJ = K, and 1 < I, J < K (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, K is 3, I is 2 and J is 1.5).

Regarding Claims 2 and 8, Tsuzuki further teaches that K is 3, I is 2 and J is 1.5 (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, K is 3, I is 2 and J is 1.5).

Regarding Claim 4, Tsuzuki further teaches that the row driver includes:

an even row driver for driving an even portion of IxN scan lines in the display area (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 element 3, Yn1); and

an odd row driver for driving an odd portion of the IxN scan lines in the display area (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 element 3, Yn2).

Regarding Claim 5, Tsuzuki further teaches that MxN pixels are arranged in a delta manner (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 delta configuration).

Regarding Claim 9, Tsuzuki further teaches that the step of scanning the IxN scan line s comprises scanning the IxN scan lines in sequence from top to bottom (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4 scan lines are scanned in a progressive sequence from top to bottom).

Regarding Claim 13, Tsuzuki further teaches a timing sequence driving method for a timing sequence control circuit, the timing sequence driving method at least comprising the method for driving the liquid crystal panel of claim 7 (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, a timing circuit is used to control the timing of the drivers).

Regarding Claims 14 and 15, Tsuzuki further teaches that the XT, YT and ZT are red subpixel data RT, green subpixel data GT, and blue subpixel data BT, respectively (Tsuzuki, Fig. 4, RGB).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuzuki (US 5,745,093) in view of the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).

Regarding Claim 6, Tsuzuki fails to teach a liquid crystal display projector system comprising the liquid crystal panel of Claim 1. The AAPA teaches that it is well known in the art to use liquid crystal display systems in projection systems (AAPA, Pg. 1 paragraph [0010]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the liquid crystal display panel of Tsuzuki in a projection system as taught by the AAPA in order to more easily realize a larger display.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuzuki (US 5,745,093).

Regarding Claims 10-12, Tsuzuki fails to teach scanning the scan lines from bottom to top and providing the data from left to right or from right to left. Since the applicant has failed to disclose that the direction of scanning or providing data provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem, it is an obvious matter of design choice to have scanned and provided the data sequentially in any direction. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary art at the time of the invention to scan from top to bottom or bottom to top and to provide the data from left to right or right to left because it would have accomplished the purpose of displaying the image data equally as well.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1, 2, 4-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ke Xiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/710,346

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 27th, 2007 - kx -

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER